Gardner, Rebecca FRANK B. DEHN & CO. NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF FILE 70234100 179 Queen Victoria Street THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY London EC4V 4EL **EXAMINATION REPORT** - 6 AUG 2001 **GRANDE BRETAGNE** (PCT Rule 71.1) RECEIVED Date of mailing 02.08.2001 Applicant's or agent's file reference 9.70236/001 IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION International application No. International, filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) PCT/GB00/01843 15/05/2000 14/05/1999 Applicant AFFIBODY TECHNOLOGY SWEDEN AB

- 1. The applicant is hereby notified that this International Preliminary Examining Authority transmits herewith the international preliminary examination report and its annexes, if any, established on the international application.
- 2. A copy of the report and its annexes, if any, is being transmitted to the International Bureau for communication to all the elected Offices.
- 3. Where required by any of the elected Offices, the International Bureau will prepare an English translation of the report (but not of any annexes) and will transmit such translation to those Offices.

4. REMINDER

- The applicant must enter the national phase before each elected Office by performing certain acts (filing translations and paying national fees) within 30 months from the priority date (or later in some Offices) (Article 39(1)) (see also the reminder sent by the International Bureau with Form PCT/IB/301).

Where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary examination report. It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned.

For further details on the applicable time limits and requirements of the elected Offices, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

Name and mailing address of the IPEA/

Authorized officer

- European Patent Office D-80298 Munich

Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d

Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Gallego, A

Tel.+49 89 2399-8102



PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant	's or ag	ent's file reference		See Notification of Transmittal of International						
9.70236	6/001		FOR FURTHER ACTION	ER ACTION Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)						
		lication No.	International filing date (day/mor							
PCT/G	B00/0 ⁻	1843 	15/05/2000	14/05/1999						
Internatio C07K1/		ent Classification (IPC) o	r national classification and IPC							
			¥.,							
Applicant										
AFFIBO	DDY T	ECHNOLOGY SWE	DEN AB							
1. This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.										
2. This	REPO	ORT consists of a total	of 7 sheets, including this cover	sheet.						
☐ This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e. sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).										
	•	exes consist of a total		,						
3. This	· report	contains indications r	elating to the following items:							
	_		y							
!! !!	_	Basis of the report Priority								
111	_	•	f aninion with resourt to marriety. I	avantiva atau and industrial analisability.						
١٧			-	nventive step and industrial applicability						
v		•	novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;							
VI		Certain documents								
VII	_									
VIII			e international application on the international application							
VIII		Certain observations	on the international application							
Date of su	ubmissio	on of the demand	Date o	of completion of this report						
14/12/2	000		02.08.	2001						
		g address of the internation	onal Author	ized officer						
الاه	Euro	opean Patent Office 0298 Munich	Dönf	er, K-P						
اري	Tel.	+49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523	656 epmu d	The state of the s						
	Fax	+49 89 2399 - 4465	Teleph	none No. +49 89 2399 8547						



 Basis of the report

	and	receiving Office in d are not annexed to scription, pages:	response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" of this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):						
	1-2	6	as originally filed						
	Cla	ims, No.:							
	1-2	6	as originally filed						
	Dra	awings, sheets:							
	1/7	-7/7	as originally filed						
_	1471								
2.	lang	n regard to the lang guage in which the i	uage, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the nternational application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.						
	The	ese elements were a	available or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:						
		the language of a	translation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).						
		the language of pu	blication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).						
		the language of a 155.2 and/or 55.3).	ranslation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rule						
3	-Witl inte	n regard to any nuc rnational preliminar	leotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the yexamination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:						
		contained in the int	ternational application in written form.						
		filed together with	the international application in computer readable form.						
	☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.								
		☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.							
		The statement that the international ap	the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in oplication as filed has been furnished.						
		The statement that listing has been fur	the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence rnished.						
4.	The	amendments have	resulted in the cancellation of:						
		the description,	pages:						
		the claims,	Nos.:						
		•							

1. With regard to the elements of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to



		the drawings,	sheets:							
5.		This report has been considered to go bey	establish ond the d	ed as if (s isclosure	ome of as filed) the amendments had not been made, since they have been (Rule 70.2(c)):				
		(Any replacement sh report.)	eet contai	ining such	ameno	dments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this				
6.	Add	litional observations, i	f necessa	ry:						
IV.	Laa	ale of continued increases	_		20.4 h					
		ck of unity of invention		ict of pay	additio	nal fees the applicant has:				
		In response to the invitation to restrict of pay additional fees the applicant has:								
	LJ	restricted the claims.								
		paid additional fees.								
		paid additional fees u	ınder prote	est.						
		neither restricted nor	paid addi	tional fee	S.					
2.		This Authority found to 68.1, not to invite the				y of invention is not complied and chose, according to Rule additional fees.				
3.	This	s Authority considers t	hat the red	quirement	of unit	y of invention in accordance with Rules 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is				
٠		complied with.								
		not complied with for see separate sheet	the follow	ing reaso	ns:					
4.	Consequently, the following parts of the international application were the subject of international preliminary examination in establishing this report:									
	🖾 all parts.									
		the parts relating to c	laims Nos	••						
V.		soned statement und tions and explanatio				ard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;				
1.	Stat	ement								
	Nov	elty (N)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-26					
	Inve	entive step (IS)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-26					



Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes:

Claims 1-26

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations see separate sheet

VIII. Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made: see separate sheet

Re Item I Basis of the report

Re Item IV Lack of unity of invention

1. Self assembled biomolecules with the features of present claim 1 are known from the prior art (see Item V infra). Therefore, no special technical feature characterising the invention and contributing to novelty and inventive step is anymore present (Rule 13(2) PCT). Thus, the present application lacks unity of the invention *a posteriori* (Rule 13(1)(2) PCT). Every novel protein or nucleic acid or any chimeric molecule would represent a separate invention.

Re Item V

Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

- 1. Reference is made to the following documents:
 - D1: K NORD ET AL.: 'Binding proteins selected from combinatorial libraries of an alpha-helical bacterial receptor domain' NATURE BIOTECHNOLOGY., vol. 15, no. 8, August 1997 (1997-08), pages 772-777, XP002149252 NATURE PUBLISHING., US ISSN: 1087-0156 cited in the application
 - D2: W A PETKA ET AL.: 'Reversible hydrogels from self-assembling artificial proteins' SCIENCE., vol. 281, 17 June 1998 (1998-06-17), pages 389-392, XP002149253 AAAS. LANCASTER, PRIOR ART., US cited in the application
 - D3: S VOSS & A SKERRA: 'Mutagenesis of a flexible loop in streptavidin leads to higher affinity for the Strep-tag II peptide and improved performance in recombinant protein purification ' PROTEIN ENGINEERING, vol. 10, no. 8, 1997, pages 975-982, XP002149254 ENGLAND GB
 - D4: K NORD ET AL.: 'Ligands selected from combinatorial libraries of protein A for use in affinity capture of apolipoprotein A-1M and Taq DNA polymerase' JOURNAL OF BIOTECHNOLOGY., vol. 80, 2000, pages 45-54,

- XP002149255 ELSEVIER SCIENCE PUBLISHERS, AMSTERDAM., NL ISSN: 0168-1656
- D5: E GUNNERIUSSON ET AL.: 'Affinity maturation of a Tag DNA polymerase specific affibody by helix shuffling' PROTEIN ENGINEERING, vol. 12, no. 10. October 1999 (1999-10), pages 873-878, XP002149256 ENGLAND GB
- D6: P GROB ET AL.: 'A system for stable indirect immmobilization of multimeric recombinant proteins' IMMUNOTECHNOLOGY., vol. 4, no. 2, October 1998 (1998-10), pages 155-163, XP002149257 ELSEVIER SCIENCE PUBLISHERS BV., NL IS\$N: 1380-2933 cited in the application
- 2. The present application relates to self-assembled biomolecular structures comprising affinity modules with at least two affinity domains.
- Novelty and Inventive Step (Article 33(2)(3) PCT) 2.1

The following assessment of substantive matters (novelty, inventive step) is established under the provisos made under Item VIII of this Report.

The wording of present claim 1 is so general that its subject-matter cannot be considered novel over the prior art documents D1 to D3 and D6. (Documents D4 and D5 are not forming part of the prior art as stipulated in Rule 64 PCT). Even antibodies or specific nucleic acids would fall under the claim as filed (see also Item VIII of this Report).

Also the subject-matter of dependent claims 2-12 is not novel in view of the disclosure of D1 to D3. D6 in its entirety is anticipating for the novelty of all present claims 1-26.

The combinatorial libraries claimed are not novel either nor are the methods for selecting a molecule using these libraries. These methods are common knowledge of the skilled person in the art.

Nevertheless, the subject-matter covered by the particular examples of the present application appear to be novel and, in view of the prior art cited in the International search report, involving an inventive step.

2.2 Industrial applicability (Article 33(4) PCT

The subject-matter of present claims 1-26 appear to comply with the requirements of industrial applicability as stipulated in Article 33(4) PCT.

Re Item VIII

Certain observations on the international application

- 1. Independent claims 1 and 17 do not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT in that the matter for which protection is sought is not clearly defined. The claims attempt to define the subject-matter in terms of the result to be achieved which merely amounts to a statement of the underlying problem. The technical features necessary for achieving this result should be added. The claims dependent upon these claims are not clear either.
- 2. The term "substantially" used in claims 19-21 is vague and unclear and leaves the reader in doubt as to the meaning of the technical feature to which it refers, thereby rendering the definition of the subject-matter of said claims unclear (Article 6 PCT).